

A MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING METHOD BASED ON PERTURB & OBSERVE ALGORITHM FOR PV SYSTEM

GAJENDRA R. PATEL¹ & KINJAL M. PAGHDAL²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Electrical, SPCE, Visnagar, Gujarat, India

²Lecturer, Department of Electrical, SPCE, Visnagar, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

Maximum power point trackers (MPPTs) play very important role in photovoltaic (PV) power systems because according to the high cost and low efficiency of a PV system, it should be operated at the maximum power point (MPP) which changes with solar irradiances or load variations. So MPPT maximize the power output from a PV system for a given Atmospheric conditions i.e. irradiances and temperature, and therefore maximize the array efficiency. Thus, an MPPT can minimize the overall system cost. Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is integrated with photovoltaic (PV) systems so that the photovoltaic arrays are able to deliver maximum available power. Maximum power point tracker (MPPT), can be used to maintain the PV array's operating point at the MPP. This paper presents an improved maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm of a PV system under changing atmospheric conditions. The proposed MPPT is based on the perturbation and observation (P&O) strategy.

KEYWORDS: Maximum Power Point (MPP), Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT), Photovoltaic (PV), Perturbation and Observation Algorithm, Boost Converter

INTRODUCTION

The MPPT has non linear characteristic of solar PV cell there exist one maximum power point (MPP) depending upon irradiation and temperature. So it is necessary to track continuously the MPP in order to maximize the power output from a PV system.

Maximize the output of photovoltaic system using different algorithm. There are different techniques used to track the maximum power point. Few of the most popular techniques are

- The perturbation and observation (P&O) algorithm is based on to give perturbation in PV operation points of a PV panel in order to force the direction of tracking toward an MPP.
- The hill-climbing algorithm which directly makes a perturbation in a duty cycle of a DC-DC power converter.
- The incremental conductance (INC) algorithm is implemented by periodically checking the slope of the P-V Curve of a PV panel. If the slope becomes zero or equal to a defined small value, the perturbation is stopped and the PV panel is forced to work at this operating point.
- The constant voltage algorithm is based on keeping the ratio between the PV voltage at the maximum power (V_{mp}) and the open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) as a constant value [3]. A group of solar cells are connected in series and parallel

circuits to generate high power and this combination is a so-called PV module. A group of such modules can be electrically connected in series-parallel combinations to generate required currents and voltages as a PV array [3].

PHOTOVOLTAIC MODULES

Solar cells consist of a p-n junction fabricated in a thin wafer of semiconductor material. The I-V output characteristic of a solar cell has an exponential characteristic similar to that of a diode.

When exposed to light on solar cell, photons with energy greater than the bandgap energy of the semiconductor are absorbed and produce an electron-hole pair. These carriers are swept apart under the influence of the internal electric fields of the p-n junction and create a current proportional to the incident radiation. When the cell is short circuited, this current flows in the external circuit and when open circuited, this current is shunted internally by the intrinsic p-n junction diode. The characteristics of this diode therefore set the open circuit voltage characteristics of the cell [7].




Figure 1: Photovoltaic Solar Cell

MODELING THE SOLAR CELL

The simplest equivalent circuit of a solar cell is shown in Figure 2. The output of the current source is directly proportional to the light falling on the cell. The diode I-V characteristic is similar of the PV cell characteristics [7]. Figure 2 gives the equivalent circuit of a single solar cell, where I_{pv} and V_{pv} are the PV array’s current and voltage, respectively, I_{ph} is the cell’s photocurrent, R_j represents the nonlinear resistance of the p-n junction, and R_{sh} and R_s are the intrinsic shunt and series resistances of the cell [2].




Figure 2: Equivalent Circuit of PV Cell [2]

Since R_{sh} is very large and R_s is very small, these terms can be neglected in order to simplify the electrical model. The following equation then describes the PV panel [2].

$$I_{pv} = n_p \cdot I_{ph} - n_p \cdot I_{rs} \left[\exp \left(\frac{q}{k \cdot T \cdot A} \cdot \frac{V_{PV}}{n_s} \right) - 1 \right]$$

Where n_s and n_p are the number of cells connected in series and the in parallel, I_{ph} is the cell's photocurrent (It depends on the atmospheric condition), I_{rs} is the cell's reverse saturation current (It depends on temperature) [2].

TABLE I

Parameter	Values
Electron charge	$q=1.602.10^{-19} \text{ C}$
Boltzmann's constant	$k=1.3806.10^{-23} \text{ JK}^{-1}$
The p-n junction's ideality factor	$A=2$
The cell's temperature	$T=25^\circ \text{ C}$
Cell's photocurrent	I_{ph} (it depends on the atmospheric condition)

In a maximum power point tracker (MPPT) a power electronic DC-DC converter inserted between the PV module and its load to achieve optimum matching of power. By using different algorithm, it ensures the PV module always operates at its maximum power point as the temperature, irradiation and load vary. A number of algorithms have been used and a number of DC-DC converter topologies are possible. The PSIM model is developed for achieving maximum power from the actual power using P&O algorithm.

P-V & I-V CHARACTERISTICS FOR DIFFERENT TEMPERATURE AND RADIATION

Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the output characteristics of the PV panel as functions of irradiance and temperature, respectively. These curves are nonlinear and they are affected by solar radiation and temperature [2].




Figure 3: The V-I Curves for Various Irradiation Levels [7]




Figure 4: V-I Curves for Various Temperatures [7]

MPPT USING P&O ALGORITHM

The perturbation and observation (P&O) algorithm is based on making perturbation in PV operation points of a PV panel in order to force the direction of tracking toward a MPP [3].




Figure 5: Photovoltaic Array Power–Voltage Relationship [1]

The perturb and observe (P&O) algorithm is commonly used in practice because of its easy of implementation. Consider Figure 5 which shows a family of PV array power curves as a function of voltage, at different irradiance (G) levels, for different irradiance and constant temperature. Assume the PVarry to be operating at point A in Figure 5 which is far from the MPP. In the P&O algorithm, the operating voltage of the PV array is perturbed by a small increment, and the resulting change in power ΔP is measured. If ΔP is positive, then the perturbation of the operating voltage moved the PV array’s operating point closer to the MPP. Thus, further voltage perturbations in the same direction should move the operating point toward the MPP. If ΔP is negative then the system operating point has moved away from the MPP, and the algebraic sign of the perturbation should be reversed to move back toward the MPP.

The advantages of this algorithm are simplicity and easy to implementation and P&O has limitations that reduce its MPPT efficiency, oscillates around the MPP and P&O methods can fail under rapidly changing atmospheric conditions [1].




Figure 6: Flow Chart of P&O Algorithm

The flow chart for P&O algorithm first of all measures the actual voltage and current from that the product of voltage and current give the actual power of PV. Then it will check condition that whether $dp=0$ or not. If this condition is satisfied then operating point is at MPP. If it is not satisfy than check another condition that $dp>0$, if this condition is satisfied than checkout that $dv>0$, if it is satisfied than it indicate that operating point is at the left side of the MPP. If $dv>0$ condition is not satisfied than it indicate that operating point is at the right side of the MPP. This process is continuously repeated until it reaches at MPP.




Figure 7: The Block Diagram of Solar System




Figure 8: Simulation of PV Module with MPPT

TABLE II

Parameter of Circuit	Values
Load resister	$R_1=30 \Omega$
Filter Capacitor	$C_1=0.8 \text{ mF}$
Filter Capacitor of boost converter	$C_2=0.80 \text{ mF}$
Inductor of boost converter	$L_1=0.30 \text{ mH}$

TABLE III

Parameter of PV Module	Values
Number of cell (Ns)	36
Standard light intensity(So)	1000 W/m^2
Ref.Temperature(Tref)	25° C
Series Resistance(Rs)	0.008Ω
Shunt Resistance(Rsh)	1000Ω
Short circuit current (Iso)	$2.16e^{-8} \text{ A}$
Band energy(Eg)	1.12 eV
Ideality factor(A)	1.2




Figure 9: (a) Radiation from 1000 W/m^2 to 800 W/m^2




Figure 9: (b) Maximum Output Power of Solar Module ($P_{max}=60.5346W$) and Actual Output Power of Solar Module ($P=59.770W$)

Radiation and temperature are the input of PV module. In the simulation radiation is change from $1000W/m^2$ to $800W/m^2$ and temperature is at $25^{\circ}C$. Due to this change in radiation the maximum power of PV module will changing from $48W$ to $60W$. Figure 9(b) shows that due to P&O algorithm the actual power (P) of a PV module track the maximum power (P_{max}).




Figure 10: (a) Voltage Output of PV Module ($V_{cell}=17.7618V$)




Figure 10: (b) Voltage Output of Boost Converter ($V_{boost}=80.8784V$)




Figure 10: (c) Current Output of the Boost Converter ($I_{\text{boost}}=2.6959\text{A}$)




Figure 10: (d) Power Output of Boost Converter ($P_{\text{boost}}=218.04431\text{W}$)

Figure 10(a), (b) shows output of PV module (V_{cell}) and output of boost converter (V_{boost}). The boost converter boost the output voltage of PV module by 78%. The actual power (P) is boost by 73%.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper described the simulation and analysis of the P&O algorithm discussed in the literature. The results are given on the basis of maximum power point tracking. The simulation is done for a PV system to obtain maximum power with weather fluctuations. The result shows that the maximum power is tracked by actual power (P) using P&O algorithm.

REFERENCES

1. D. P. Hohm and M. E. Ropp "Comparative Study of Maximum Power Point Tracking Algorithms": Res. Appl. 2003; 11:47–62
2. ROBERTO FARANDA, SONIA LEVA "Energy comparison of MPPT techniques for PV Systems.": Res. Appl. June 2008; 3: 446–455
3. A. J. Mahdi, W. H. Tang and Q. H. Wu, "Improvement of a MPPT algorithm for PV systems and its experimental validation" International conference on renewable energies and power quality: Res. Appl. March 2010
4. Trishan Esham, and Patrick L. Chapman "Comparison of Photovoltaic Array Maximum Power Point Tracking Techniques" IEEE Transactions on energy conversion, VOL. 22, NO. 2, JUNE 2007

5. Weidong Xiao, William G. Dunford “A Modified Adaptive Hill Climbing MPPT Method for Photovoltaic Power Systems” *Res: 2004 35th Annual IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference*. : pp 1957-1963
6. Nicola Femia, Giovanni Petrone, Giovanni Spagnuolo, and Massimo Vitelli “Optimization of Perturb and Observe Maximum Power Point Tracking Method” *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS*, VOL. 20, NO. 4, JULY 2005
7. Geoff Walker” *EVALUATING MPPT CONVERTER TOPOLOGIES USING A MATLAB PV MODEL*”
8. K. L. Lian, J. H. Jhang, and I. S. Tian” *A Maximum Power Point Tracking Method Based on Perturb-and-Observe Combined With Particle Swarm Optimization*” *IEEE JOURNAL OF PHOTOVOLTAICS*, VOL. 4, NO. 2, MARCH 2014
9. S. Jain and V. Agarwal” *Comparison of the performance of maximum power point tracking schemes applied to single-stage grid-connected photovoltaic systems*” *IET Electr. Power Appl.*, 2007, 1, (5), pp. 753–762
10. Yu Yongchang, Yao Chuanan” *Implementation of a MPPT Controller Based on AVR Mega16 for Photovoltaic Systems*” *2012 International Conference on Future Electrical Power and Energy Systems Energy Procedia* 17(2012), pp: 241 – 248

